

**CITY OF PRINCETON  
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING  
COUNCIL CHAMBERS – 431 W. MAIN STREET  
TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2011  
6:30 PM**

Notice is hereby given of a council meeting for the purpose of discussion and potential action upon the following agenda items:

- 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL.**
- 2. APPEARANCES FROM THE PUBLIC.**
- 3. MINUTES FOR APPROVAL.**
  - A. January 11, 2011
- 4. NEW BUSINESS.**
  - A. Department Head Report-Police Chief Matt Bargaquast
- 5. ADJOURN.**

\* The meeting room is accessible to all. Requests from persons with disabilities who need assistance to participate in this meeting should be made to the Administrator's office at 920.295.6612 with as much advance notice as possible.

**CITY OF PRINCETON**  
**COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING MINUTES**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS – 431 W. MAIN STREET**  
**TUESDAY, JANUARY 11, 2011**  
**6:00PM**

Notice is hereby given of a council meeting for the purpose of discussion and potential action upon the following agenda items:

1. **CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL.** Council President Bednarek called the meeting to order at 6:02 PM. In attendance were Alderpersons Garro, Pulvermacher, Hardt, Kallenbach, and Bednarek, and Mayor Mosolf. Absent was Alderperson Magnus.
2. **APPEARANCES FROM THE PUBLIC.** Nothing at this time.
3. **MINUTES FOR APPROVAL.**
  - A. **November 23, 2010** Pulvermacher motioned to approve the 11/23/10 Committee of the Whole Minutes, seconded by Garro. Carried 5-0.
4. **OLD BUSINESS**
  - A. **Outdoor Wood Burners** There was a discussion about Outdoor Wood Burners. Alderperson Pulvermacher stated in his opinion there is a need to control outdoor wood burners. Alderperson Garro stated how far to you want to control-fire pits, fireplaces, pellet stoves, and outdoor wood burners? No one can control the wind and the direction of the smoke. Bednarek motioned to disband the Outdoor Wood Burner Task Force, seconded by Garro. Carried 4-1, with Pulvermacher voting against.
5. **NEW BUSINESS.**
  - A. **Proposal from General Engineering Company** General Engineering was present to discuss implementing their program and not going by previous Building Inspectors prices. General Engineering wanted to know what the City wanted to focus on for building inspections and zoning. Consensus by the Council to have new City Administrator set up date and time of workshop to redefine rules for permits and zoning and look at Ordinances.
  - B. **Consideration of ATV Access for Charity Ride** Ernie Pulvermacher spoke about an ATV Charity Ride in partnership with the Neshkoro and Princeton VFW's to raise funds and have the VFW determine how the funds are distributed. He asked if Vehicles could be parked by City Shop for the event, since VFW has limited parking. The ride would be April 9, 2011 from 10:00 am to approximately 4:00 pm. The ride would start at the Princeton VFW and use railroad to go North and West. Garro motioned to approve ATV Access for Charity Ride, seconded by Kallenbach. Carried 3-1 with Hardt voting against and Pulvermacher abstained.
6. **ADJOURN.** Council President Bednarek adjourned the meeting at 6:54 PM.

**CITY OF PRINCETON**  
**COMMON COUNCIL MEETING**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS – 431 W. MAIN STREET**  
**TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2011**  
**7:00 PM**

- 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL.**
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.**
- 3. APPEARANCES FROM THE PUBLIC.**
- 4. CONSENT CALENDAR:**
  - A. Minutes for Approval:
    - i. March 8, 2011-Council Meeting and March 15, 2011-Special Council Meeting
  - B. Licenses for Approval
    - i. Operators Licenses
      - A. Ashley S Roller (new)
      - B. Renee M Mikulski (new)
- 5. OFFICER REPORT**
  - A. City Administrator/Clerk/Treasurer
- 6. OLD BUSINESS**
  - A. 2011/2012 Budget Deficit
- 7. NEW BUSINESS**
  - A. Waive Snow Shoveling Bill for 103 S Farmer Street-Michelle Nighbor
  - B. Mayoral Appointment-Police Discipline Committee
- 8. COMMUNICATIONS**
- 9. ADJOURN**

\* The meeting room is accessible to all. Requests from persons with disabilities who need assistance to participate in this meeting should be made to the Administrator's office at 920.295.6612 with as much advance notice as possible.

**CITY OF PRINCETON**  
**COMMON COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS – 431 W. MAIN STREET**  
**TUESDAY, MARCH 8, 2011**  
**7:00 PM**

1. **CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL.** Mayor Mosolf called the meeting to order at 7:01 PM. In attendance were Alderpersons Kallenbach, Pulvermacher, Garro, Magnus, and Bednarek, Administrator Weidl, and Mayor Mosolf. Absent was Alderperson Hardt.
2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.** The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.
3. **APPEARANCES FROM THE PUBLIC.**
  - Mike Goetz 507 N Clinton St., Princeton Snow shoveling bills on agenda.
  - Todd Nachtrab 324 W Main St., Apt A, Princeton Snow plowing.
  - Grace Kallas 513 N Clinton St., Princeton Snow shoveling bills on agenda.
  - Jessica McGuigan 320 E South St., Princeton Police complaints.
4. **CONSENT CALENDAR:**
  - A. **Minutes for Approval:**
    - i. **February 22, 2011** Magnus motioned to approve 2/22/2011 Council Minutes, seconded by Garro. Carried 5-0.
5. **OFFICER REPORTS:**
  - A. **Mayor.** The DOT changed the detour route to: County A to K to Hwy 73 to D.
  - B. **Administrator/Clerk/Treasurer** Administrator Weidl stated he was collecting information about camping in the city. He also handed out a report of the revenues and expenses for the General Fund. By June there will be no money available. Executive Assistant Cheryle Nickel explained the report about unbudgeted expenses from 8/1/10 to 12/31/10, which depleted the General Fund, Utility Fund and LGIP account. Administrator Weidl is meeting with George Jachthuber, Lee Williams, Matt Bargaquast, Adam Roehl, and Cheryle Nickel to present the Council with their recommendation. Alderperson Pulvermacher inquired about the 2011 money being spent on 2010 expenses. He also inquired about restructuring debt and refinancing. Alderperson Bednarek inquired if money could be borrowed for capital debt retroactively? Mayor Mosolf inquired if furlough days were considered and no overtime. Alderperson Kallenbach stated big items need to be taken out of 2011 Budget. Alderperson Magnus inquired about four (4)- ten (10) hour work days. Administrator looked at many options and stated the General Fund cannot keep pace with wages. The Council agreed on a Special Council Meeting in 3/15/11 at 7:00 PM to hear recommendations from Administrator and Staff.
  - C. **Police Chief.** Chief Bargaquast stated that the people who were arrested for many of the crimes that had been reported in the newspaper are now in the court system. There was a situation at the Public School this week with drugs.
  - D. **Ambulance Director.** Nothing at this time.
  - E. **Emergency Government.** Nothing at this time.
  - F. **Zoning Administrator / Building Inspector.** Nothing at this time.
  - G. **Library Director** Director Duhr handed in a report and she stated there is a breakfast fundraiser for the Library at the American Legion on 3/27/11.
6. **OLD BUSINESS**
  - A. **Discussion and Invoice for Approval-Kunkel Engineering-Job #0917 for \$575.00**
  - B. **Discussion and Invoice for Approval-Wood Sewer & Excavating, Inc**

representative when their terms were up if more interested people could take their place. Alderpersons Bednarek and Kallenbach and Mayor Mosolf are the representatives for the City of Princeton and they all had other commitments that evening.

**9. ADJOURN** Mayor Mosolf adjourned the meeting at 8:40 PM.

\* The meeting room is accessible to all. Requests from persons with disabilities who need assistance to participate in this meeting should be made to the Administrator's office at 920.295.6612 with as much advance notice as possible.

**CITY OF PRINCETON**  
**SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA MINUTES**  
7:00 PM  
**TUESDAY, MARCH 15, 2011**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS – 431 W. MAIN STREET**  
**CITY OF PRINCETON, WISCONSIN**

1. **Call to order and roll call.** Mayor Mosolf called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM. In attendance were Alderpersons Kallenbach, Pulvermacher, Garro, Hardt, Bednarek, and Magnus, Administrator Weidl, and Mayor Mosolf.
2. **Pledge of Allegiance.** The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.
3. **City Administrator Address** Administrator Weidl addressed the Council: Thanked everyone for coming to the meeting. The city is in the current situation because of several reasons; lack of revenues and unbudgeted expenses in 2010. The Council on 3/8/11 passed a resolution for a non-essential spending freeze. There are currently no layoffs or reclassifications for any city staff. The city has a cash flow problem. It is administrator's responsibility to look at all aspects of the city and makes recommendations in the best interest of the City and community. Administrator Weidl's recommendation are to make a one-time, non-reimbursable transfer of \$10,000.00 from the ambulance fund to the General Fund by 6/1/11, renegotiate the waste/recycling services to remove two (2) bulk pickups and explore saving of providing waste and/or recycling services twice a month. Administrator Weidl believes this recommendation will give the City and community time to develop solutions that will impact the second half of the 2011 budget.
4. **Public Comment**
  - Mark Putzke Green Lake County Sheriff's Dept The Sheriffs Dept has concerns for Public safety and any potential cuts to public safety in the City is a concern.
  - Ted Kuklinski 318 N Howard St., Princeton Had concerns about talk of layoffs or people being fired in the City. Government is services for people. City workers are efficient and have knowledge and he urges the Council to look at other ideas such as selling property or equipment or loans.
5. **New business.**
  - A. **2011/2012 Budget Deficit Recommendation** Council discussion: The 2010 unbudgeted items were discussed. Administrator Weidl explained the three (3) parts of a reconciliation. The accounts receivable, accounts payable and the reconciliation between the receivables and payables. Cheryle does the accounts receivables, the Administrator does the payables and he also does the reconciliation. There are options so one person does not do the reconciliation of their own work, have the Council President check the administrators work or find a part-time person to reconcile the accounts. Alderperson Magnus inquired about

**ORDINANCE NO. 2007-08**

**AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL AND RECREATE  
SECTION 5-1-7 POLICE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE  
OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF PRINCETON**

WHEREAS, the Common Council for the City of Princeton is desirous to repeal and recreate an ordinance establishing police discipline committee section 5-1-7 of the Municipal Code of the City of Princeton.

The Common Council of the City of Princeton, Wisconsin, do ordain as follows:

**Section I. Adoption of Provisions.**

Sec. 5-1-7 Police Discipline Committee.

- (a) **Applicability.** All rules contained in this Code of Ordinance apply to the Police Discipline Committee (PDC) except where inconsistent with the rules enumerated in this Section.
- (b) **Purpose of Police Discipline Committee.** The PDC shall serve as a committee that meets all the requirements set forth in Sec. 62.13, Wis. Stats. The PDC shall review all matters that may result in the suspension, reduction, suspension and reduction, or removal of the Police Chief or other law enforcement officer that is not probationary. Such matters may be directly referred to the PDV by the Mayor and/or may be referred to the PDC by other City Committees.
- (c) **Appointment and Term.** The PDC shall consist of three (3) members, none of whom may be an elected or appointed official of the City or employed by the City, two (2) of whom shall constitute a quorum. The Common Council shall annually, at the Council's organizational meeting following the spring election, appoint in writing to be filed with the secretary of the PDC, one (1) member for a term of three (3) years. Initially, the PDC members shall have staggered terms of 1, 2, and 3 years. The PDC shall keep a record of its proceedings.
- (d) **Committee Meetings and Reports.**
  - 1) **Election and Duties of Chairperson.** At its initial meeting and annually thereafter as provide herein, the PDC shall elect a Chairperson. The Chairperson shall call and preside at all meetings of the PDC and shall see that all actions of the PDC are carried into effect.
  - 2) **Annual Meeting.** There shall be an annual meeting of the PDC to be held on the first Monday or May of each year, or if deemed necessary

by the Chairperson of the PDC, as soon thereafter as practicable. The Chairperson shall call the meeting and specify the date, time and location for the meeting. The Chairperson shall be elected, and other relevant general business transacted at the annual meeting.

- 3) **Special Meetings.** A special meeting of the PDC may be held whenever called by the Chairperson, or upon the written direction of at least two (2) members of the PDC.
- 4) **Notice of Meetings.** The person calling the meeting of the PDC shall advise the City Clerk-Treasurer of the nature of the meeting, the agenda, and the time and location for the meeting. The City Clerk-Treasurer shall provide notice of the meeting in accordance with Wisconsin's Open Meeting Law and City ordinances.
- 5) **Meetings.** All meetings shall have recorded minutes and copies of the same shall be forwarded to the Common Council.
- 6) **General Rules and Procedures.** The PDC shall develop a review process that is both consistent with the Wisconsin Statutes and designed to meet the needs of the particular matter referred to the PDC. General rules and procedures governing the review process are as set forth in the Rules and Procedures for the City of Princeton's Police Discipline Committee, and attached to this Section as "Appendix A." Any further rules set forth in writing shall be referred to the Common Council for review and shall be effective when adopted by resolution of the Common Council.
- 7) **Communications with Common Council.** The PDC shall keep the Common Council adequately and appropriately apprized of the status of any matters before the PDC.

**Cross-Reference:** Appendix A: City of Princeton Police Discipline Committee Rules and Procedures ("PDC Procedures").

## **Section II. Severability.**

If any provision of this Ordinance is invalid or unconstitutional or if the application of this Ordinance to any person or circumstance is invalid or unconstitutional, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the other provisions or applications of this Ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid or unconstitutional provisions or applications.

## **Section III. Effective Date.**

This ordinance shall take effect upon passage and publication as proved by law.

Ordinance No. 2007-08 introduced and its adoption moved by Galatowitsch, and seconded by Bednarek.

Passed and approved this 31st day of July, 2007.

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Matt Schneider, Mayor

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joshua Schoemann, Deputy Clerk/Treasurer

AYES:   5  

NAYES:   1  

ABSENT:   0  

Approved as to form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ludwig L. Wurtz, City Attorney

Appendix A:

City of Princeton Police Discipline Committee Rules and Procedures (“PDC Procedures”)

- (a) **Duties and Responsibilities.** The Police Discipline Committee (“PDC”) shall have the following duties and responsibilities:
- (1) **Disciplinary Action.** The PDC shall have the exclusive right to suspend, reduce, suspend and reduce, or remove the Police Chief and/or any other police officer who is not probationary. Disciplinary actions regarding a probationary Police Chief and/or probationary police officers shall be made by the Common Council. For the purposes of these PDC procedures, the terms “discipline” or “disciplinary action” shall be limited to suspension, reduction, suspension and reduction, or removal.
  - (2) **Ultimate Review of Complaints or Charges Filed.** The PDC shall have the ultimate right to review complaints or charges filed against the Police Chief and/or any police officer, and to take disciplinary action. Review by the PDC shall be initiated as follows:
    - a. A subordinate may be suspended as herein after provided as a penalty. The subordinate may also be suspended by the Police Discipline Committee pending the disposition of charges filed against the subordinate.
    - b. Charges may be filed against a subordinate by the Chief, by a member of the PDC, by the PDC as a body, the Mayor, or by any aggrieved person. Such charges shall be in writing and shall be filed with the chairman of the PDC. Pending disposition of such charges, the PDC or Chief may suspend the subordinate.
    - c. A subordinate may be suspended for just cause by the Chief or by the PDC as a penalty. The Chief shall file a report of such suspension with the PDC immediately upon issuing the suspension. No hearing on such suspension shall be held unless requested by the suspended subordinate. If the subordinate suspended by the Chief requests a hearing before the PDC, the Chief shall be required to file charges with the PDC upon which such suspension was based.
    - d. Following the filing of charges in any case, a copy thereof shall be served upon the person charged. The PDC shall set dates for hearing not less than ten (10) days no more than thirty (30) days following service of charges. The hearing on the charges shall be public, and both the accused and the complainant may be presented by any attorney and may compel the attendance of witnesses by subpoenas which shall be issued by the chairperson of the PDC on request and be served as are subpoenas under CH. 885, Wis. Stats.

8

Address of Property 103 S Estimer

Date of Snowfall 1-8-11

Date and Time Snowfall Ended 2-9-11

Amount of Snowfall 4"

Date the Sidewalk was Shoveled and/or Salted 2-10-11

Time Sidewalk was Shoveled and/or Salted 11:50

Sidewalk was Shoveled and/or Salted By Gary Greg

Michelle  
Neighbor



**Division of Transportation  
System Development**  
North Central Region  
Wisconsin Rapids Office  
1681 Second Avenue South  
Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54495

**Scott Walker, Governor  
Mark Gottlieb, Secretary**  
Internet: [www.dot.wisconsin.gov](http://www.dot.wisconsin.gov)

Telephone: (715) 421-8300  
Facsimile (FAX): (715) 423-0334  
E-mail: [ncr.dtsd@dot.wi.gov](mailto:ncr.dtsd@dot.wi.gov)

---

March 11, 2011

**SUBJECT:** I.D. 1430-08-78  
STH 23  
Princeton to Green Lake  
Green Lake County

Dear Property Owner:

Work on 5.4 miles of WIS 23 from Old Green Lake Road in Princeton to Green Lake County T is currently scheduled to begin on Monday, April 4, 2011 (depending on favorable weather conditions) in Green Lake County.

The Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) is conducting a construction information meeting to discuss the contractor's schedule and construction staging. The meeting is scheduled for **Tuesday, March 29**, from 5 to 7 p.m. at Princeton High School (604 Old Green Lake Road, Princeton, WI 54968). A presentation is scheduled to begin at 5:15 p.m. WisDOT staff and representatives from the prime contractor will be available to present planned construction activities, detour routes, and answer questions.

### **Project background**

- WIS 23 will be reconstructed between Old Green Lake Road and Green Lake County T.
- The existing pavement will be removed and the roadway will be graded to improve sight distances. New gravel and concrete pavement will be placed. The shoulders will be widened to 10 feet (5 feet paved and 5 feet gravel).
- A passing lane in the eastbound direction will be added between Princeton Road and Green Lake County T.
- Intersections will be improved to provide right-turn lanes and bypass lanes.

### **Traffic impacts**

- WIS 23 will be closed to through traffic from April 4 until November 2011. WIS 23 traffic will be detoured via Green Lake County D, WIS 73, Green Lake County K, and Green Lake County A.
- WIS 73 traffic will be detoured via Green Lake County D.
- WIS 23 and WIS 73 will remain open to local traffic during construction.



## Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608) 267-6873

March 15, 2011

TO: Members  
Wisconsin Legislature

FROM: Bob Lang, Director

SUBJECT: Potential Retirement Savings for Public Employers Under 2011 Wisconsin Act 10

Under 2011 Wisconsin Act 10, the authority for Wisconsin Retirement System (WRS) participating employers (both the state and local employers), to pay all or part of the contributions required of participating employees was repealed except for represented local police, local firefighters, state troopers, and state inspectors. Under Act 10, employers may still pick up the employee portion of WRS costs for these employees, if agreed to in a collective bargaining agreement.

Act 10 also requires that a WRS general participant, and an executive or elected official participant would be required to make an employee contribution to the WRS in an amount equal to one-half of all actuarially-required contributions, as approved by the Employee Trust Fund (ETF) Board. Under the current 2011 rates, one-half of the general participant rate would be 5.8% of earnings and one-half the executive/elected official rate would be 6.65% of earnings. In addition, Act 10 requires WRS participants who are protective occupation employees (both those who are and are not covered by social security) to contribute the percentage of earnings that would be paid by general participants (5.8% in 2011). However, as indicated above, certain represented protective occupation employees may continue to collectively bargain for an employer pickup of some or all of the employee-required contributions. Finally, Act 10 applies these provisions to the City of Milwaukee Employees' Retirement System (CMERS), and the Milwaukee County Employees' Retirement System (MC-ERS), except that the contribution requirement for the City of Milwaukee employees is to pay "all employee required contributions," (currently 5.5% for most general employees).

The Attachments to this memorandum provide information on the potential annual savings for public employers associated with higher employee contributions for retirement benefits. Attachment 1 covers municipal employers and is arranged by county. Attachments 2, 3, and 4, estimate potential annual savings for school districts, technical colleges and Cooperative

Educational Service Agencies (CESAs), and special purpose districts respectively. These latter three attachments list employers alphabetically.

The projected savings for each unit of government are based on 2009 covered payroll data (the latest available) for the WRS, CMERS, and MC-ERS. As noted above, under Act 10, most protective occupation participants would retain the right to collectively bargain an employer pickup of the employee-required retirement contributions. Therefore, the payroll data was adjusted to remove the share of payroll attributable to protective occupation participants. This adjustment affects many municipal and county employers (Attachment 1) and some special purpose district employers (Attachment 4). This adjusted payroll was multiplied by 5.8% to estimate the projected savings for non-protective employees only and is shown in the first column in these two attachments. The non-protective employee estimates reflect projected savings to the unit of government if the employer currently picks up all of the employee-required share of retirement contributions. To the extent that employees currently pay out-of-pocket some or all of the employee-required retirement contributions, these projected savings would be reduced.

Attachments 1 and 4 also include the 5.8% of earnings calculation for protective occupation participants' payroll. While the employer pickup of all or part of this share would continue to be collectively bargained, this information is provided because some savings will result within this group (for example, nonrepresented protective occupation employees would be under the payment requirement) and some portion of these amounts may result in savings to the extent that the employer pickup of the employee-required contributions is reduced as a result of bargaining.

It should be noted that in Attachment 1, if \$0 appears in both the non-protective and protective columns, it indicates that the employer is not a participating employer in the WRS. If \$0 appears in the non-protective column and a positive amount appears in the protective column, it indicates that the employer only provides WRS coverage to its protective occupation employees.

The projected savings for school districts (Attachment 2), and technical colleges and CESAs (Attachment 3) are based on 5.8% of the 2009 WRS covered payroll data for each employer. Again, the estimates reflect projected savings if the employer currently picks up all of the employee-required share of retirement contributions. To the extent that employees currently pay out-of-pocket some or all of the employee-required retirement contributions, these projected savings would be reduced.

It should also be noted that the projected savings in the attachments represent total savings regardless of the funding source used to pay employee salaries. Therefore, these savings may not be entirely available to the unit of government's general fund to the extent that restricted funds (for example, federal funding) are used to pay salaries.

Finally, these projections relate to the potential employer savings associated with the retirement provisions in Act 10 and do not address potential health care coverage savings. Under Act 10, health care coverage and employee contributions for this coverage may be modified by the employer because health care benefits are no longer a subject of collective bargaining for most

public employees. [Under Act 10, only base wages would subject to collective bargaining, except for represented local police, local firefighters, state troopers, and state inspectors.] This change will provide local public employers with greater latitude to select employee health care coverage plans and to determine the premium cost sharing between employers and employees. However, because these future modifications cannot be predicted or quantified, potential local public employer savings cannot be projected.

BL/AZ/sas  
Attachments

**ATTACHMENT 1**

**Estimated Employee Retirement Savings Under 2011 Wisconsin Act 10  
Counties and Municipalities  
Wisconsin Retirement System -- 2009 Payroll Data**

Savings Based on 5.8% of Payroll Data  
Non-Protective                      Protective

**GREEN LAKE COUNTY**

**Towns**

Berlin	\$0	\$0
Brooklyn	0	0
Green Lake	2,500	0
Kingston	0	0
Mackford	0	0
Manchester	0	0
Marquette	0	0
Princeton	0	0
Saint Marie	0	0
Seneca	0	0

**Villages**

Kingston	0	0
Marquette	0	0

**Cities**

Berlin *	76,900	39,500
Green Lake	20,500	10,600
Markesan	16,400	4,700
Princeton	19,700	8,100

County Government	369,200	123,800
-------------------	---------	---------

*Handwritten notes:*  
11-12k for PW  
36k for  
all

\* This municipality is located in more than one county. The amounts shown are for the entire municipality, as opposed to the part of the municipality in this county.

**Estimated 2012 General Transportation Aid Payments Under Governor's Budget  
(Based on Cost Data Used for 2011 Payments)**

	<u>2011 Actual</u>	<u>2012 Estimate</u>	<u>Change in Payments Amount</u>	<u>Percent</u>
<b>GREEN LAKE COUNTY</b>				
<b>Towns</b>				
Berlin	\$93,092	\$79,128	-\$13,964	-15.0%
Brooklyn	98,949	95,957	-2,991	-3.0
Green Lake	111,418	108,049	-3,368	-3.0
Kingston	33,667	36,277	2,610	7.8
Mackford	72,317	70,130	-2,186	-3.0
Manchester	80,552	78,117	-2,435	-3.0
Marquette	52,396	50,812	-1,584	-3.0
Princeton	78,964	76,577	-2,387	-3.0
Saint Marie	51,570	50,011	-1,559	-3.0
Seneca	47,315	45,885	-1,430	-3.0
<b>Villages</b>				
Kingston	6,679	5,677	-1,002	-15.0
Marquette	7,664	7,432	-232	-3.0
<b>Cities</b>				
Berlin *	372,192	316,363	-55,829	-15.0
Green Lake	183,862	206,242	22,380	12.2
Markesan	77,010	67,200	-9,810	-12.7
Princeton	90,822	77,199	-13,623	-15.0
County Government	878,367	796,426	-81,941	-9.3

\* This municipality is located in more than one county. The amounts shown are for the entire municipality as opposed to the part of the municipality in this county.

**ATTACHMENT**

**Estimated 2012 County and Municipal Aid Program Reduction Under SB 27/AB 40**

	2010 Census Population	2010 Equalized Value	2011 Aid Payment	2012 Estimated Aid Payment	Amount	% of 2011 Aid Payment	Estimated Reduction Per Capita	Per \$1,000 of Equalized Value
<b>GREEN LAKE COUNTY</b>								
<b>Towns</b>								
Berlin	1,140	\$100,887,800	\$18,674	\$13,694	-\$4,980	-26.7%	-\$4.37	-\$0.05
Brooklyn	1,826	565,261,800	34,421	21,645	-12,776	-37.1	-7.00	-0.02
Green Lake	1,154	575,536,900	23,714	18,611	-5,103	-21.5	-4.42	-0.01
Kingston	1,064	51,742,000	45,652	41,314	-4,338	-9.5	-4.08	-0.08
Mackford	560	46,546,600	18,565	17,363	-1,202	-6.5	-2.15	-0.03
Manchester	1,022	56,598,300	38,667	34,665	-4,002	-10.3	-3.92	-0.07
Marquette	531	73,711,100	7,288	6,208	-1,080	-14.8	-2.03	-0.01
Princeton	1,434	364,934,800	25,005	17,126	-7,879	-31.5	-5.49	-0.02
Saint Marie	351	34,932,300	13,588	13,116	-472	-3.5	-1.34	-0.01
Seneca	408	33,170,400	19,527	18,889	-638	-3.3	-1.56	-0.02
<b>Villages</b>								
Kingston	326	16,520,200	65,521	65,114	-407	-0.6	-1.25	-0.02
Marquette	150	17,396,600	28,631	28,545	-86	-0.3	-0.57	0.00
<b>Cities</b>								
Berlin *	5,524	241,241,700	1,718,794	1,682,608	-36,186	-2.1	-6.55	-0.15
Green Lake	960	249,649,700	28,367	24,836	-3,531	-12.4	-3.68	-0.01
Markesan	1,476	71,183,800	426,421	419,303	-7,118	-1.7	-4.82	-0.10
Princeton	1,214	59,673,500	444,923	439,276	-5,647	-1.3	-4.65	-0.09
County Government	19,051	2,548,055,700	93,615	46,808	-46,807	-50.0	-2.46	-0.02

\* This municipality is located in more than one county. The amounts shown are for the entire municipality, as opposed to the part of the municipality in this county.